

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 10/667,456-Conf. #9475 Filing Date **TRANSMITTAL** September 23, 2003 **FORM** First Named Inventor Kouji TOISHI Art Unit 3641 **Examiner Name** K. C. Egwim (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 3 2185-0708P Total Number of Pages in This Submission

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
Fee Transi	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence		Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		X Request for Refund	Request for Refund Letter Res	
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)		2006
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD		
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks	•	
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Name	m Name BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			
Signature				
Printed name Andrew D. Meikle				
December 14, 2006		Reg. No.	32,868	



Docket No.: 2185-0708P

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Kouji TOISHI et al.

Application No.: 10/667,456

Confirmation No.: 9475

Filed: September 23, 2003

Art Unit: 3641

For: A POSITIVE RESIST COMPOSITION

Examiner: K. C. Egwim

LETTER RESPONDING TO USPTO COMMUNICATION OF NOVEMBER 15, 2006

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the USPTO Communication dated November 15, 2006, Applicant today files a Divisional Application. The Communication refused to accept the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed October 20, 2006 and stated that all of the elected subject matter had been deleted from the claims. This was, in fact, not true since the pending claims in the RCE recited Formula (7) which falls completely within the scope of previously elected Formula (2). The Examiner has refused to change his position, despite this. In order to expedite prosecution, Applicant has now filed a Divisional Application with this Letter.

Application No.: 10/667,456 Docket No.: 2185-0708P

If any questions arise, regarding this matter, please contact the undersigned at the phone number listed below.

Dated: December 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

2

Attorney for Applicant

ADM/jg